

# Rafe Lecture 11.

22/10/2012.

~~This is precisely~~

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = f \\ B(u) = h \end{cases}$$

Eq. for  $P = \Delta \rightsquigarrow$

$$C_p = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2i|\mu|} \\ \frac{i}{2}|\mu| & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$w/\mu$

$\{z = i\mu t z_0\}$

Need hypotheses.  $B$  when restricted to  $C_p$ .

Dirichlet.  $B_0 \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} = u_0$

Neumann  $B_N \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} = u_1$

When is  $B(u) = A_0 u_0$ . ( $A_0 \in \mathbb{F}^n(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  good?).

If  $\sigma(A_0) \neq 0$ , i.e.  $A_0$  elliptic.

$$B(u) = A_0 u_0 + A_1 u_1 \rightsquigarrow \sigma(A_0) + i|\mu| \sigma(A_1) \neq 0.$$

Bad: e.g.  $\alpha_1 + i|\mu| \alpha_0 \neq 0$ , e.g.  $\alpha_0 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = -i|\mu|$ ,  
corresponds to  $-i \sqrt{\Delta_0} u_0 + u_1 = h$ .

(Remember  $u_1 = \frac{1}{i} \partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega}$ ).

Or  $A_0 = i\mu$ ,  $A_1 = 1$ .  $i\mu + i|\mu|$  is  
not elliptic  $\rightsquigarrow \partial_\nu u_0 + u_1 = 0$ , i.e.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + i\nu_0 u = h.$$

Going back to  $C_p$ ,  $P = \Delta$ , see: If  $\Delta u = 0$  in  $\Omega$ , the  $(u_0, u_1)$  are related:  $\hat{u}_1 = i|\mu| \hat{u}_0$ .

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \nu} = -|\mu| \hat{u}|_{\partial \Omega}.$$

I.e. given  $\varphi \in H^s(\partial \Omega)$ , solve  $\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 \\ u|_{\partial \Omega} = \varphi \end{cases}$

Define  $N(\varphi) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega}$ , Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.

Q:  $N \in \mathcal{F}'(\partial \Omega)$ ,  $\sigma_1(N) = -|\mu| \Rightarrow N$  elliptic.

Th<sup>m</sup>.  $(\Omega, g) \rightsquigarrow N_g \rightsquigarrow \sigma(N_g) =$  full symbol detours entire series of  $g$  at  $\partial \Omega$ .

Calderon Inverse Problem: Does  $N$  determine  $\Omega$

or  $g$  or  $\Delta$ ? Want to study:

is  $g \mapsto N_g$  injective. I.e. is  $g$  determined by  $N_g$ . (No word about practical issues of actually recovering  $g$ ...).

Fix  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , smoothly bdd, comp. For  $v \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ , put  $L_v = -\Delta + v$ . Define  $N_v$ . Q. does  $N_v$  determine  $v$ ? I.e. Is  $v \mapsto N_v$  injective?

Th<sup>m</sup> (Sylvester-Uhlmann) If  $n \geq 3$ , this is true!

Want to prove this:  $V_1, V_2 \rightsquigarrow N_1, N_2$ . Q.  $N_1 = N_2 \Rightarrow V_1 = V_2$

Suppose  $h_j n_j = 0$ ,  $j=1,2$ ,  $n_j|_{\partial\Omega} = \psi_j$ .

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta + v_1) n_1 n_2 - n_2 (-\Delta + v_2) n_1.$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (v_1 - v_2) n_1 n_2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} (n_1 \frac{\partial n_2}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial n_1}{\partial \nu} n_2)$$

$N$  is self-adjoint (follow from this lemma ~~with  $N=N_1=N_2$~~ ), with  $n_2 = v_1$ , hence get  $\int_{\Omega} (v_2 - v_1) n_1 n_2 = 0$ .

If we know that  $\{n_1, n_2 : h_1 n_1 = 0 = h_2 n_2\}$  is dense in  $C^0(\Omega)$ , we can conclude that  $v_1 = v_2$ .

Idea: For  $v=0$ ,  $e^{x \cdot \xi}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is harmonic.  
 if  $0 = \Delta(e^{x \cdot \xi}) = \xi \cdot \xi e^{x \cdot \xi} = 0$ .

For  $v \neq 0$ ,  $e^{x \cdot \xi}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is harmonic is  
 $0 = \Delta(e^{x \cdot \xi}) + v(e^{x \cdot \xi}) = \xi \cdot \xi e^{x \cdot \xi} + v e^{x \cdot \xi} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  
 $v$  extended by 0.  $w/|w| \leq \frac{c}{|\xi|}$ .

(\*) Suppose we can do this. Choose  $\xi_1 = \xi + i(\frac{\gamma}{2} + \mu)$   
 $\xi_2 = -\xi + i(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \mu)$ ,  $\xi, \gamma, \mu$  pairwise  
 orthogonal. (Need  $n \geq 3$  to do this).

$n_1 = e^{x \cdot \xi_1} + w_1$ ,  $n_2 = e^{x \cdot \xi_2} + w_2$ . Want to let  $\gamma$  fixed,  
 let  $\mu$  and  $\xi$  go to  $\infty$ .

$$= \int (v_1 - v_2) n_1 n_2 = \int (v_1 - v_2) e^{ix \cdot \gamma} + \frac{O(\frac{1}{|\xi|})}{e^{|\xi|^2}}$$

using  $\gamma \geq 0$ .

$\leq 0$ .

$\Rightarrow V_1 = V_2$  by uniqueness of FT.  $L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .

For (\*)

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta + V) (e^{x \cdot \beta} (1+w)) &= -\operatorname{div} \left( [\beta(1+w) + \nabla w] e^{x \cdot \beta} \right) \\ &= -(2\beta \cdot \nabla w + \Delta w) e^{x \cdot \beta} + V e^{x \cdot \beta} (1+w) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Delta w + 2\beta \cdot \nabla w = V(1+w).$$

So, have to study  $(\Delta + \beta \cdot \nabla)w = f$

Defn.  $L^2_\beta = \{u : \int (1+|x|^2)^\beta |u|^2 < \infty\}$ .

Th<sup>m</sup> If  $f \in L^2_{\beta+1}$ ,  $\exists! u \in L^2_\beta$  sol<sup>n</sup> and

$$\|u\|_{L^2_\beta} \leq \frac{C}{|\beta|} \|f\|_{L^2_{\beta+1}}.$$

Th<sup>m</sup>  $\Delta : L^2_\beta \rightarrow L^2_{\beta+2}$  is Fredholm for almost all  $\beta$  (except for "growth rates of harmonic polynomials").

F.T.'ing  $(\Delta + \beta \cdot \nabla)w = f$  (dual variable  $\beta = \mu + i\nu$ ),

$$\Rightarrow (-|\mu|^2 + (\beta + i\nu) \cdot \mu) \hat{w} = \hat{f}.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (-(|\mu|^2 - \nu \cdot \mu) + i(\beta \cdot \mu)) \hat{w} = \hat{f}.$$

Can't divide in general!

$$\text{(E.g. } \xi = e_1, \eta = e_2 \leadsto |m|^2 + m_2 = 0.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (m_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + |m'|^2 = \frac{1}{4}.$$

$$\leadsto m = (0, m_2, m').$$

(e, codim. 2-vanishing of symbol).

Lemma. Define  $Z_\delta f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{m_2 + i\delta} \hat{f} \right)$ . Then,

$$\|Z_\delta f\|_{L^2_\delta} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2_{\delta+1}} \quad \text{if } -1 < \delta < 0.$$

Lemma In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\left( \frac{1}{m_2 + i\delta} \right)^\wedge$  is homogeneous of order  $-2 - (-1) = -1$ .

$$F(m) = \int e^{i u \cdot m} \frac{1}{m_2 + i\delta} du \Rightarrow \partial_{m_1} F = \int \frac{i u_1}{m_2 + i\delta} \dots du.$$

$$\partial_{m_2} F = \int \frac{i u_2}{m_2 + i\delta} \dots du.$$

$$\Rightarrow (-i \partial_{m_1} + \partial_{m_2}) F = \delta.$$

$$\Rightarrow (\partial_{m_1} + i \partial_{m_2}) F = i \delta.$$

$$\Rightarrow F = \frac{1}{m_2 + i\delta}.$$

Hence  $Z_\delta f = \frac{1}{m_2 + i\delta} * f$ .

Pf of Lemma Ex 2 done:

for  $g \in L^2_{-\delta} = (L^2_{\delta})^*$ , consider.

$$\langle g, z_{ef} \rangle_2 = \int \frac{g(u) \overline{f(v)}}{(u_1 - v_1) + i(u_2 - v_2)} du dv, \text{ hence.}$$

$$|\langle z_{ef}, g \rangle|^2 \leq \left( \int \left( \int \frac{du}{(1+|u|^2)^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} (1+|v|^2)^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} |u-v|} \right) (1+|v|^2)^{1+\delta} |f(v)|^2 dv \right)$$

$$\times \left( \int \left( \int \frac{dv}{(1+|u|^2)^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} (1+|v|^2)^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} |u-v|} \right) (1+|u|^2)^{\delta} |g(u)|^2 du \right)$$

$$\leq C \|f\|_{L^2_{1+\delta}}^2 \|g\|_{L^2_{-\delta}}^2 \quad (C \text{ from above integrals.})$$

In  $n$  dimensions,  $\int |z_{ef}|^2 (1+|u|^2)^{\delta} du_1 du_2$

$$\leq \int |f|^2 (1+|u|^2)^{1+\delta} du_1 du_2$$

and  $(1+u_1^2 + \dots - u_n^2)^{\delta} \leq (1+u_1^2 + u_n^2)^{\delta}$ ,  $(1+u_1^2 + u_n^2)^{1+\delta} \leq (1+u_1^2 + \dots + u_n^2)^{1+\delta}$

since  $-1 < \delta < 0$ . The integrals over  $u_3, \dots, u_n$ .

Will need another lemma:  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ .  
 continuous chosen  $\Phi: f \mapsto \gamma^{-1}(f \circ \varphi)$  is  
 hdd on  $L^2$ .