

Collective motion of living organisms: the Vicsek model

Stochastic processes and Machine learning I

Alexander Zass Master thesis supervised by Prof. Paolo Dai Pra February 14, 2018

Potsdam University

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Mean-field limit
- 3. Macroscopic scaling
- 4. A generalization

Introduction

INTRODUCTION

The object of this talk is the Vicsek model.

- $\rightarrow\,$ It is an individual-based model, where the particles are self-propelled, and have same constant velocity;
- ightarrow The interaction is one of alignment, in the presence of noise;
- ightarrow The discrete-time dynamics is given by

$$x_i(t + \Delta t) = x_i(t) + v_i(t)\Delta t,$$

where the speed is of the form

$$v = |v|e^{i\vartheta(t)},$$

with constant norm, and direction given by the angle

$$\vartheta(\mathbf{t} + \Delta \mathbf{t}) = \langle \vartheta(\mathbf{t}) \rangle + \Delta \vartheta.$$

INTRODUCTION



Figure 1: Simulation of 300 individuals after 200 time steps of the Vicsek model, with parameters L = 25, noise $\eta = 0.1$.

Once we have provided the time-continuous version of this model, we are going to discuss its mean-field limit, as well as its large-scale behaviour.

The time-continuous dynamics is given by N particles, the position and velocity of which evolve according to

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{i,N} = V_t^{i,N} \; dt, \\ dV_t^{i,N} = \sqrt{2d} \; (\mathbb{I} - V_t^{i,N} \otimes V_t^{i,N}) \circ dB_t^i + (\mathbb{I} - V_t^{i,N} \otimes V_t^{i,N}) \; J_t^{i,N} \; dt, \end{cases}$$

where

$$J^{i,N}:=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^N K\bigl(|X^{j,N}-X^{i,N}|\bigr)V^{j,N}$$

is the K-weighted momentum of the i-th particle.

Mean-field limit

MEAN-FIELD LIMIT

Let $f^N=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^N\delta_{(X_t^{j,N},V_t^{j,N})}(x,v)$ be the **empirical distribution**. Its limit as $N\to\infty$ is given by a probability density function f satisfying

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \nabla_v \cdot \left(\left(\mathbb{I} - v \otimes v \right) \overline{J}_f f \right) = d\Delta_v f, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\overline{J}_f(x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{S}}K(|x-y|)f(y,v)v\ dydv,\ \text{for all }x\in\mathbb{R}^n.$$

There exists a pathwise unique global solution to

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{X}_t^i = \bar{V}_t^i \ dt \\ d\bar{V}_t^i = \sqrt{2d} \ (\mathbb{I} - \bar{V}_t^i \otimes \bar{V}_t^i) \circ dB_t^i + (\mathbb{I} - \bar{V}_t^i \otimes \bar{V}_t^i) \bar{J}_{f_t}(\bar{X}_t^i) \ dt \\ (\bar{X}_0^i, \bar{V}_0^i) = (X_0^{i,N}, V_0^{i,N}), \ f_t = Law(\bar{X}_t^i, \bar{V}_t^i), \end{cases}$$

with initial data $(X_0^{i,N},V_0^{i,N})$ for $i=1,\ldots,N.$

Moreover, we can prove the following

Theorem (Propagation of chaos)

There exist N independent processes $(\bar{X}^i_t,\bar{V}^i_t)_{t\geq 0}$ with law f, such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X^{i,N}_t-\bar{X}^i_t|^2+|V^{i,N}_t-\bar{V}^i_t|^2\right]\leq \frac{C}{N},$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, $N \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq N.$

Macroscopic scaling

MACROSCOPIC SCALING

We perform a **hydrodynamic scaling**. We set $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \varepsilon \mathbf{x}$, $\hat{\mathbf{t}} = \varepsilon \mathbf{t}$, and define

•
$$f^{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}, v, \hat{t}) := f(x, v, t);$$
 $K^{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n}} K(x);$

►
$$\overline{J}_{f^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} (K^{\varepsilon} * f^{\varepsilon})(x,v,t) v dv$$
,

Equation (1)

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \nabla_v \cdot \left(\left(\mathbb{I} - v \otimes v \right) \overline{J}_f \, f \right) = d \Delta_v f,$$

can then be rewritten as

$$\varepsilon \big(\partial_t f^\varepsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x f^\varepsilon \big) = -\nabla_v \cdot \big((\mathbb{I} - v \otimes v) \overline{J}_{f^\varepsilon} f^\varepsilon \big) + \Delta_v f^\varepsilon, \tag{2}$$

Studying its limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ means observing the large-scale behaviour of the model.

The first thing to notice is that, as $\varepsilon \to$ 0, we get the following expansion:

$$\overline{J}_{f^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}(x,t):=\int_{\mathbb{S}}(K^{\varepsilon}\ast f^{\varepsilon})(x,v,t)v\;dv=\int_{\mathbb{S}}f^{\varepsilon}(x,v,t)v\;dv+O(\varepsilon^{2}).$$

We write $J_f(x,t):=\int_{\mathbb{S}}f(x,v,t)v~dv.$ Ignoring the $O(\varepsilon^2)$ term, we can then rewrite (2) as

$$\varepsilon(\partial_{\mathsf{t}}\mathsf{f}^{\varepsilon} + \mathsf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathsf{x}}\mathsf{f}^{\varepsilon}) = \mathsf{Q}(\mathsf{f}^{\varepsilon}), \tag{3}$$

where $Q(f) := -\nabla_v \cdot ((\mathbb{I} - v \otimes v) J_f f) + \Delta_v f$ is the **collision operator**.

Since Q(f) is the only term of order zero in ε , particular interest lies in the **equilibria** of this operator, i.e. the functions f such that Q(f) = 0. Since Q acts only on the v variable, in the following we consider

(x,t) fixed, so we study the case of equilibria f = f(v).

Equilibria

Definition (Von Mises-Fischer distribution)

We introduce the Von Mises-Fischer distribution with concentration parameter $\kappa \geq 0$ and orientation $\Omega \in S$ as the probability density on the sphere defined by

$$\mathsf{M}_{\kappa\Omega}(\mathsf{v}):=\frac{\mathsf{e}^{\kappa\ \mathsf{v}\cdot\Omega}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}}\mathsf{e}^{\kappa\ \mathsf{w}\cdot\Omega}\mathsf{d}\mathsf{w}},\quad\mathsf{v}\in\mathbb{S}.$$

Notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} \mathsf{Q}(f) \frac{f}{\mathsf{M}_{J_{f}}} \ \mathsf{d} \nu = - \int_{\mathbb{S}} \left| \nabla_{\nu} \left(\frac{f}{\mathsf{M}_{J_{f}}} \right) \right|^{2} \mathsf{M}_{J_{f}} \ \mathsf{d} \nu \leq 0,$$

so that an equilibrium $f_{eq}(\boldsymbol{v})$ has to be of the form

$$f_{eq}(v) = \varrho M_{\kappa\Omega}(v),$$

for $\varrho > 0$, $\Omega \in \mathbb{S}$, and $\kappa \ge 0$ that has to satisfy an implicit condition.

In fact, since the following has to hold

$$\kappa \Omega = J_{f_{eq}} = \int_{\mathbb{S}} v \; f_{eq}(v) \; dv = \int_{\mathbb{S}} v \varrho \; M_{\kappa \Omega} \; dv = \varrho \; J_{M_{\kappa \Omega}} = \varrho \; c(\kappa) \Omega,$$

we get $f_{eq}(v) = \rho M_{\kappa(\rho)\Omega}(v)$, with $\kappa = \kappa(\rho)$ such that the following **compatibility condition** holds:

$$\varrho \mathbf{c}(\kappa) = \kappa,$$
(CC)

where
$$c(\kappa) = \langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \Omega \rangle_{\mathsf{M}_{\kappa\Omega}} = \langle \cos \vartheta \rangle_{\mathsf{M}_{\kappa}} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\pi} \cos \vartheta e^{\kappa \cos \vartheta} \sin^{n-2} \vartheta d\vartheta}{\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{\kappa \cos \vartheta} \sin^{n-2} \vartheta d\vartheta}.$$

Since $\frac{c(\kappa)}{\kappa} \to \frac{1}{n}$ as $\kappa \to 0$, we find that the compatibility condition leads to the following phase transition:

Proposition (Phase transition)

- ▶ $\rho \leq n$. Uniqueness of the equilibrium.
 - $\kappa=$ 0 is the unique solution of (CC). The only equilibria are
 - $h = \varrho$ for an arbitrary $0 \le \varrho \le n$.

▶ $\rho > n$. Two equilibria.

(CC) has 2 roots, $\kappa = 0$ and $\kappa(\varrho) > 0$. The equilibria are:

 $h = \varrho > n$; $\varrho M_{\kappa(\varrho)\Omega}$, for $\varrho > n$ and $\Omega \in \mathbb{S}$ arbitrary, which form a manifold of dimension n.

Moreover, in the first case, the equilibria are stable while, if $\rho > n$, then the equilibria $h = \rho$ become unstable and there is exponentially fast convergence to the Von Mises-Fischer ones.

Fix $\varepsilon >$ 0, and come back to

$$\varepsilon(\partial_t f^\varepsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x f^\varepsilon) = Q(f^\varepsilon). \tag{4}$$

Assuming **space-homogeneity**, and considering $g^{\varepsilon} = f^{\varepsilon}/\varrho^{\varepsilon}$, we get

Theorem (Existence of a solution)

Suppose g_0 is a probability measure, belonging to $H^s(\mathbb{S}).$ Then there exists a unique weak solution g to

$$\varepsilon \partial_{t}(g^{\varepsilon}) = -\varrho^{\varepsilon} \nabla_{\omega} \cdot \left((\mathbb{I} - \omega \otimes \omega) \mathsf{J}_{g^{\varepsilon}} g^{\varepsilon} \right) + \Delta_{\omega} g^{\varepsilon}, \tag{5}$$

with initial condition $g(0) = g_0$. This solution is a classical one, is positive for all time t > 0, and belongs to $C^{\infty}((0, +\infty) \times S)$.

Theorem (Convergence rates)

The long time behaviour of the solution g depends on the value of $J_{\rm g_0},$ in fact:

- ► If J_{g0} = 0 then (5) reduces to the heat equation on the sphere, and g converges exponentially fast to the uniform distribution in any H^p form.
- If $J_{g_0} \neq 0$ then we have 3 possibilities:
 - $\to~\varrho^{\varepsilon} <$ n: g converges exponentially fast in any ${\rm H}^{\rm p}$ norm to the uniform distribution.
 - $\rightarrow \ \varrho^{\varepsilon} = n$: g converges to the uniform distribution in any H^p norm, with algebraic asymptotic rate ¹/₂.
 - $\rightarrow \ \varrho^{\varepsilon} > n$: there exists $\Omega \in \mathbb{S}$ such that g converges exponentially fast to $M_{\kappa(\varrho^{\varepsilon})\Omega}$ in any H^{p} norm.

Let's get back to the **space-inhomogeneous** case. We need to specify the dependence of ρ and Ω on (x, t). What we have just seen inspires us to consider two distinct regions:

 \rightarrow A "disordered" one

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{d}} = \left\{ (\mathsf{x},\mathsf{t}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{n}} imes \mathbb{R}_{+} : \ \mathsf{n} - \varrho^{\varepsilon}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{t}) \gg \varepsilon \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow \mathsf{0}
ight\}$$
,

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \mbox{ And an "ordered" one} \\ \mathcal{R}_o = \big\{ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ : \ \varrho^\varepsilon(x,t) - n \gg \varepsilon \mbox{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0 \big\}. \end{array}$

We assume that

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} f^{\epsilon}(x,v,t) = \varrho(x,t), \text{ for all } (x,t) \in \mathcal{R}_d; \\ &\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} f^{\epsilon}(x,v,t) = \varrho(x,t) \mathsf{M}_{\kappa(\varrho)\Omega(x,t)}, \text{ for all } (x,t) \in \mathcal{R}_o, \end{split}$$

where the convergence is as smooth as needed.

In the disordered region, where $\varrho\leq n,$ we have that $J_{f^e}\to J_h=0,$ and $\partial_t\varrho=0.$

Theorem

For $\varepsilon \to 0$, the formal first order approximation to the solution of the rescaled mean-field system (3) in the disordered region \mathcal{R}_d is given by

$$f^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x},\omega,\mathbf{t}) = \varrho^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}) - \varepsilon \frac{\mathsf{n}\omega \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\varrho^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t})}{(\mathsf{n}-\mathsf{1})\big(\mathsf{n}-\varrho^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t})\big)},$$

where the density ϱ^{ε} satisfies the following diffusion equation:

$$\partial_t \varrho^\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{n-1} \Big(\nabla_x \cdot \frac{\nabla_x \varrho^\varepsilon}{n-\varrho^\varepsilon} \Big).$$

If $\varrho >$ n, then the following holds

Theorem

For $\varepsilon \to 0$, the formal limit of the solution $f^{\varepsilon}(x, v, t)$ of the rescaled mean-field system (3) in the ordered region \mathcal{R}_{o} is given by

 $\varrho(x,t) \mathsf{M}_{\kappa(\varrho(x,t))\Omega(x,t)}(v),$

where $\kappa = \kappa(\varrho)$ is the unique positive solution to $\varrho c(\kappa) = \kappa$. Moreover, the local density ϱ and the mean orientation $\Omega \in S$ satisfy the following first order PDE system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{t}\varrho + \nabla_{x} \cdot (\varrho c\Omega) = 0\\ \varrho (\partial_{t}\Omega + \tilde{c}(\Omega \cdot \nabla_{x})\Omega) + \lambda (\mathbb{I} - \Omega \otimes \Omega) \nabla_{x} \varrho = 0, \end{cases}$$
(6)

for an appropriate coefficient $\tilde{c}(\kappa(\varrho))$ and a parameter $\lambda(\varrho)$.

A generalization

A GENERALIZATION

We consider the same model as before, but now we assume that the flock is comprised of two different populations, let's say A and B, that differ in their dynamics for the diffusion coefficient. More precisely, the dynamics of our model is given by the coupled system

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^i = V_t^i dt, \ dY_t^i = W_t^i dt \\ dV_t^i = \sqrt{2d} (\mathbb{I} - V_t^i \otimes V_t^i) \circ dB_t^i + (\mathbb{I} - V_t^i \otimes V_t^i) J_t^i (X_t^i) dt \\ dW_t^i = \sqrt{2b} (\mathbb{I} - W_t^i \otimes W_t^i) \circ dB_t^i + (\mathbb{I} - W_t^i \otimes W_t^i) J_t^i (Y_t^i) dt, \end{cases}$$
(7)

where, for $Z_t^i = X_t^i \mbox{ or } Y_t^i,$ the function J is defined as

$$J_t^i(Z_t^i) = \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_{j=1}^{N_A} K(|Z_t^i - X_t^j|) V_t^j + \frac{1}{N_B} \sum_{j=1}^{N_B} K(|Z_t^i - Y_t^j|) W_t^j$$

Following what we have done in the previous case, it is easy to obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f_t + v \cdot \nabla_x f_t = -\nabla_v \cdot \left((\mathbb{I} - v \otimes v) \overline{J}_{f+g} f_t \right) + d \Delta_v f_t \\ \partial_t g_t + v \cdot \nabla_x g_t = -\nabla_v \cdot \left((\mathbb{I} - v \otimes v) \overline{J}_{f+g} g_t \right) + b \Delta_v g_t. \end{cases}$$
(8)

We expect the equilibria to reflect, in some way, the difference in the diffusion coefficient of the two populations. Again, we define the collision operator

$$\mathsf{Q}(\mathsf{f}) = -\nabla_{\mathsf{v}} \cdot \big((\mathbb{I} - \mathsf{v} \otimes \mathsf{v})\mathsf{J}_{\mathsf{f} + \mathsf{g}}\mathsf{f} \big) + \mathsf{d} \; \Delta_{\mathsf{v}}\mathsf{f},$$

and are interested in the functions f such that $\mathsf{Q}(f)=0.$ In order to do so, we introduce a modified Von Mises-Fischer distribution

$$\mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{d}}_{\kappa\Omega}(\mathsf{v}) = \frac{\mathsf{e}^{\frac{\kappa \mathsf{v} \cdot \Omega}{\mathsf{d}}}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}} \mathsf{e}^{\frac{\kappa \mathsf{w} \cdot \Omega}{\mathsf{d}}} \mathsf{d} \mathsf{w}}.$$

It is easy to show that, if f and g are two functions such that Q(f) and Q(g) are zero, then they are of the form

$$f = \varrho_f C_1 \exp\left(\frac{\kappa v \cdot \Omega}{d}\right), \quad g = \varrho_g C_2 \exp\left(\frac{\kappa v \cdot \Omega}{b}\right). \tag{9}$$

and the new compatibility condition reads

$$1 = d\varrho_f \frac{c(\kappa/d)}{\kappa/d} + b\varrho_g \frac{c(\kappa/b)}{\kappa/b}.$$
 (10)

Since $\frac{c(\kappa)}{\kappa} \rightarrow \frac{1}{n}$ as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, we can summarize our results as

Compatibility condition

- → If $d\varrho_f + b\varrho_g \le n$, then $\kappa = 0$ is the unique solution of (10). The only equilibria are the isotropic ones, $f = \varrho_f$ and $g = \varrho_g$.
- $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \ \mbox{If } d\varrho_f + b\varrho_g > n, \mbox{then (10) has 2 roots: } \kappa = 0 \mbox{ and } \kappa(\varrho) > 0. \mbox{ The equilibria for } \kappa = 0 \mbox{ are } f = \varrho_f \mbox{ and } g = \varrho_g \mbox{; the ones associated to } \kappa(\varrho) \mbox{ consist of the Von Mises-Fischer distributions } \varrho_f M^d_{\kappa(\varrho)\Omega} \mbox{ and } \varrho_g M^b_{\kappa(\varrho)\Omega} \mbox{, for } \Omega \in \mathbb{S} \mbox{ arbitrary.} \end{array}$

Definition (Convergence rates)

Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|{\cdot}\|$ and let $f:\mathbb{R}_+\to X.$

 \blacktriangleright We say that f converges exponentially fast to a function f_∞ with **global rate** r if there exists a constant $C=C(\|f_0\|)$, such that

$$\|f(t)-f_\infty\|\leq Ce^{-rt}$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

- ▶ We say that the convergence is of **asymptotic rate** r if the above holds for a constant $C = C(f_0)$ depending on f_0 and not only on $||f_0||$.
- We say that the convergence is of asymptotic algebraic rate α if there exists a constant C = C(f₀) such that

$$\|f(t)-f_\infty\|\leq C/t^\alpha.$$

REFERENCES

🔋 P. Dai Pra, A. Zass (2017)

Collective motion of living organisms: the Vicsek model http://tesi.cab.unipd.it/56242/

 T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, O. Shochet (1995)
 Novel type of phase transition in a system of self-driven particles

Phys. Rev. Lett., Vol. 75

A.-S. Sznitman (1991)

Topics in propagation of chaos

École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIX, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 1464

REFERENCES

P. Degond, S. Motsch (2008)

Continuum limit of self-driven particles with orientation interaction

Math. Models and Methods in Appl. Sciences, Vol. 18

P. Degond, A. Frouvelle, J.-G. Liu (2013)

Macroscopic limits and phase transition in a system of self-propelled particles

Journal of Nonlinear Science, Vol. 23

📔 A. Frouvelle (2012)

A continuum model for alignment of self-propelled particles with anisotropy and density-dependent parameters

Math. Models and Methods in Appl. Sciences, Vol. 22