Mathematical machine learning part IV : active and online learning

Prof. Dr. Gilles Blanchard, <u>Dr. Alexandra Carpentier</u>^{*}, Dr. Jana de Wiljes, <u>Dr. Martin Wahl</u>

1. The stochastic bandit problem

Useful material : See Bubeck et.al (2012), and also Cesa-Bianchi et.al (2006) for a broader perspective - see also https://blogs.princeton.edu/imabandit/2016/05/11/bandit-theory-part-i/ (and part ii) for a helpful blog post.

1.1. The problem

1.2. Upper bounds

1.3. Lower bounds

An important question is on whether the algorithm presented in the last subsection is *optimal*. But first, how can we characterise optimality? A useful tool for characterizing the efficiency of a statistical methods is the concept of *minimax lower bounds* - this framework is related to information theory.

1.3.1. Examples in a classical problem

1.3.2. Back to the two armed bandit problem

We consider the two-armed bandit problem from the last subsection. Let S be the set of all two-armed bandit problems with distributions that have support on [0, 1]. Let $\bar{R}_n(S, \mathcal{A})$ be the pseudo-regret that algorithm \mathcal{A} would suffer on problem $S \in S$.

Theorem 1. It holds that

$$\inf_{\mathcal{A}} \inf_{algorithm} \sup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \bar{R}_n(S, \mathcal{A}) \ge \min\left(\frac{\log(nu^2)}{640u}, nu/64\right).$$

For $u \in (0, 1/4]$, consider the bandit problems where the first distribution is a Dirac mass in 1/2 + u/2, and where the second distribution is a Bernoulli of parameter 1/2 + u - let us write $\mathbb{P}_{1/2+u,\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{E}_{1/2+u,\mathcal{A}}$ for the distribution (resp. expectation) of the data for this problem when algorithm \mathcal{A} is used. Consider also the bandit problems where the first distribution is a Dirac mass in 1/2 + u/2, and where the second distribution is a Bernoulli of parameter 1/2 - let us write $\mathbb{P}_{1/2,\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{E}_{1+u,\mathcal{A}}$ for the distribution (resp. expectation) of the data for this problem when algorithm \mathcal{A} is used. The previous theorem follows directly from the following lemma.

^{*}Contact : carpentier@math.uni-potsdam.de. Webpage with course material TBA : http://www.math.uni-potsdam.de/~carpentier/page3.html

Lemma 1. For $u \in [0, 1/4]$, it holds that

$$\inf_{\mathcal{A} \quad algorithm} \left[\mathbb{E}_{1/2+u,\mathcal{A}}[n-T_{2,n}] + \mathbb{E}_{1/2,\mathcal{A}}T_{2,n} \right] \ge \min\left(\frac{\log(nu^2)}{640u^2}, n/64\right).$$

Proof Let \mathcal{A} be an algorithm, we write for short $\mathbb{P}_{1/2+u}, \mathbb{E}_{1/2+u}$, for $\mathbb{P}_{1/2+u,\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{E}_{1/2+u,\mathcal{A}}$. Let us write $(X_1, \ldots, X_{T_{2,n}})$ for the samples collected by sampling the second distribuction. Let for T > 0

$$L_{\mu}(x_1, ..., x_T) = \mu^{\sum_i x_i} (1-\mu)^{T-\sum_i x_i} = \exp\Big(\log(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})\sum_i x_i + T\log(1-\mu)\Big).$$

Let $\Omega_T = \{T_{2,n} = T\}$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}_{1/2+u}(\Omega_T) = \mathbb{E}_{1/2} \left[\frac{L_{1/2+u}(X_1, \dots, X_T)}{L_{1/2}(X_1, \dots, X_T)} \mathbf{1}\{\Omega_T\} \right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{1/2} \left[\exp\left(\log(\frac{1+2u}{1-2u})\sum_i X_i + T\log(1-2u)\right) \mathbf{1}\{\Omega_T\} \right].$$

Consider now the event

$$\xi = \Big\{ \forall T \le n, |\sum_{i \le T} X_i - T/2| \le \sqrt{T \log(2t)} \Big\}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(\xi) \ge 1 - 1/n^2$.

$$\mathbb{P}_{1/2+u}(\Omega_T) \ge \mathbb{E}_{1/2} \left[\log(\frac{1+2u}{1-2u}) \sum_i X_i + T \log(1-2u) \mathbf{1}\{\Omega \cap \xi\} \right]$$
$$\ge \mathbb{E}_{1/2} \left[\exp\left(\log(\frac{1+2u}{1-2u}) \left(T/2 - \sqrt{T \log(2T)}\right)\right) + T \log(1-2u) \mathbf{1}\{\Omega_T \cap \xi\} \right]$$

Now note that since $0 < u \le 1/4$, we have $\log(1-2u) \ge -2u - 2u^2$ and

$$\log(\frac{1+2u}{1-2u}) \ge \log((1+2u)(1+2u)) = \log(1+4u+4u^2) \ge 4u - 8u^2.$$

So we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{1/2+u}(\Omega_T) \ge \mathbb{E}_{1/2} \left[\exp\left((4u - 8u^2) (T/2 - \sqrt{T \log(2T)}) + T(-2u - 2u^2) \right) \mathbf{1} \{\Omega_T \cap \xi \} \right] \\ \ge \mathbb{E}_{1/2} \left[\exp\left(-6Tu^2 - 4u\sqrt{T \log(2T)} \right) \mathbf{1} \{\Omega_T \cap \xi \} \right] \\ \ge \exp\left(-6Tu^2 - 4u\sqrt{T \log(2T)} \right) \mathbb{E}_{1/2} \left[\mathbf{1} \{\Omega_T \cap \xi \} \right] \\ \ge \exp\left(-6Tu^2 - 4u\sqrt{T \log(2T)} \right) [\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(\Omega_T) - 1/n^2] \\ := M^{-1}(T, u) [\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(T_{2,n} = T) - 1/n^2].$$

imsart-generic ver. 2013/03/06 file: classHU2017_4.tex date: February 14, 2017

i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}_{1/2+u}(T_{1,n} = n - T)(n - T) \ge M^{-1}(T, u)[\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(T_{2,n} = T) - 1/n^2](n - T).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{1/2+u} T_{1,n} &\geq \sum_{T} M^{-1}(T,u) [\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(T_{2,n}=T) - 1/n^2](n-T) \\ &\geq \sum_{T} \exp(-6Tu^2 - 4u\sqrt{T\log(2T)}) [\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(T_{2,n}=T) - 1/n^2](n-T) \\ &\geq \exp(-12\bar{T}u^2 - 8u\sqrt{\bar{T}\log(2\bar{T})}) [\sum_{T \leq 2\bar{T}} \mathbb{P}_{1/2}(T_{2,n}=T) - 1/n](n-2\bar{T}) \\ &\geq \exp(-2\bar{T}u^2 - 8u\sqrt{\bar{T}\log(2\bar{T})}) [\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(T_{2,n} \leq 2\bar{T}) - 1/n](n-2\bar{T}), \end{split}$$

for any $\overline{T} \leq n$. Set $\overline{T} = \mathbb{E}_{1/2}T_{2,n}$. It holds that $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(T_{2,n} \leq 2\overline{T}) \geq 1/2$, so that

$$\mathbb{E}_{1/2+u}T_{1,n} \ge \exp(-12\bar{T}u^2 - 8u\sqrt{\bar{T}\log(2\bar{T})})[1/2 - 1/n](n - 2\bar{T})$$
$$\ge \exp(-\max\left(20\bar{T}u^2, \log(2\bar{T})\right))(n - 2\bar{T})/4.$$

So this implies that

$$\mathbb{E}_{1/2+u}T_{1,n} + \bar{T} \ge \exp(-20u^2\bar{T} - \log(2\bar{T}))n/32 + \bar{T}$$
$$\ge \min\Big(\frac{\log(nu^2)}{640u^2}, n/64\Big).$$

This concludes the proof.

References

Bubeck, Sebastien, and Nicolo Cesa-Bianchi. Regret analysis of stochastic and nonstochastic multiarmed bandit problems. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, 5(1):1-122, 2013.

Cesa-Bianchi, Nicolo, and Gabor Lugosi. Prediction, learning, and games. *Cambridge University Press*, 2006.

imsart-generic ver. 2013/03/06 file: classHU2017_4.tex date: February 14, 2017

1.4. Exercises : part 2

Lower bounds arguments. Consider the 2 - armed stochastic bandit setting where the objective is to minimize the pseudi-regret.

For $u \in (0, 1/4]$, consider the bandit problems where the first distribution is a Dirac mass in 1/2 + u/2, and where the second distribution is a Bernoulli of parameter 1/2 + u - let us write $\mathbb{P}_{1/2+u,\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{E}_{1/2+u,\mathcal{A}}$ for the distribution (resp. expectation) of the data for this problem when algorithm \mathcal{A} is used. Consider also the bandit problems where the first distribution is a Dirac mass in 1/2 + u/2, and where the second distribution is a Bernoulli of parameter 1/2 - let us write $\mathbb{P}_{1/2,\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{E}_{1+u,\mathcal{A}}$ for the distribution (resp. expectation) of the data for this problem when algorithm \mathcal{A} is used. The previous theorem follows directly from the following lemma.

- 1. Write the likelihood of T samples that are distributed according to a Bernoulli distribution of parameter μ .
- 2. Consider the event

$$\xi = \Big\{ \forall T \le n, |\sum_{i \le T} X_i - T/2| \le \sqrt{T \log(2t)} \Big\}.$$

Prove that $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(\xi) \ge 1 - 1/n^2$. 3. Prove that for any $T \le n$

$$\mathbb{P}_{1/2+u}(T_{2,n}=T) \ge \exp\left(-6Tu^2 - 4u\sqrt{T\log(2T)}\right) [\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(T_{2,n}=T) - 1/n^2].$$

4. Deduce from this that

$$\mathbb{E}_{1/2+u}T_{1,n} \ge \exp(-\max\left(20\bar{T}u^2,\log(2\bar{T})\right))(n-2\bar{T})/4.$$

5. Conclude that

$$\inf_{A \text{ algorithm}} \sup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \bar{R}_n(S, \mathcal{A}) \ge \min\left(\frac{\log(nu^2)}{640u}, nu/64\right).$$

6. Recall Pinsker's inequality. Deduce the problem independent bound from it.